

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/006,551	11/30/2001	Christopher D.S. Donham	NVIDP064/P000286	2643		
28875 7	28875 7590 10/04/2006			EXAMINER		
Zilka-Kotab, PC			TRAN, TAM D			
P.O. BOX 721120 SAN JOSE, CA 95172-1120			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		•	2628			
			DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/006,551		DONHAM ET AL.				
		-	Examiner		Art Unit			
			Tam D. Tran		2628			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ars on the c	over sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M rsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALING DATE of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS  (a). In no event,  Il apply and will e  cause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tim xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·		
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 18 Jar	nuary 2006.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
•	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the	application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	uirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)[	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	: a) <b>□</b> acce <sub>l</sub>	pted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
`	see the attached detailed Office actic	ni ioi a list o	n the Certine	a copies not receive	u.			
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) X Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4	) Interview Summary				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5	Paper No(s)/Mail Da ) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/006,551

Art Unit: 2628

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. Claims 1-30, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The focus is on the result, not the step or structure used to produce the result. A useful, concrete and tangible result must be either specifically recited in the claim or flow inherently therefrom.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-12, 18-21, 24-27, 28, 30, are rejected under 35 U. S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivard et al. (USPN 5987567).

3. In regard to claim 1, 21, 24-27, 30, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, see

Application/Control Number: 10/006,551 Page 3

Art Unit: 2628

Fig.6, comprising: (a) sending an instruction request to video memory, where a texture module in a graphics pipeline sends the instruction request to the video memory (texture mapping module 645 send request to texel cache system and to DRAM); see Fig.6, Fig.10, col.6 lines 50-55; and (b) receiving instructions from the video memory in response to the instruction request utilizing the texture module in the graphics pipeline (texture mapping module 645 received data from DRAM), see Fig.6, Fig.10, col.6 lines 53-55. On col.6 lines 50-60, Rivard is silent on the instruction being sent to and from DRAM. However, col.7 lines 3-6, Rivard teaches that memory data associated with instructions. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate instructions and data on col.7 lines 3-6 into the sending data of col.6 lines 50-60 because combination of instruction and data would provide a constant latency which includes time for exiting and reentering the graphic pipeline, see col.7 lines 1-3.

- 4. In regard to claim 4, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the memory includes a frame buffer. See col.3 lines 5-10.
- 5. In regard to claim 5, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the memory includes direct random access memory (DRAM). See Fig.6, col.4 lines 45-55.
- 6. In regard to claim 8, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, and further

comprising receiving initial instructions from a rasterizer module (graphic accelerator having graphic pipeline stage) coupled to the texture module. See Fig.6.

- 7. In regard to claim 9, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the initial instructions control at least the sending of the instruction request by the texture module (graphic accelerator having graphic pipeline stage). See Fig.6.
- 8. In regard to claim 18, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein a complete instruction set is received in response to the instruction request. See Fig.6, Fig.10, col.7 lines 4-7.
- 9. In regard to claims 19, 20, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein a partial instruction set is received in response to the instruction request. See Fig.6, Fig.10. col.7 lines 4-7.
- 10. In regard to claim 2, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, comprising sending a texture request to memory utilizing the texture module in the graphics pipeline. See Fig.6, Fig.10.
- 11. In regard to claim 3, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, and further comprising receiving texture information from the memory in response to the texture request utilizing the texture module in the graphics pipeline. See Fig.6, Fig.10.

- 12. In regard to claim 6, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the instructions are adapted for controlling a texture environment module coupled to the texture module. See Fig.6, Fig.10.
- 13. In regard to claim 7, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the instructions control the manner in which the texture environment module processes the texture information. See col.7 lines 3-7.
- 14. In regard to claim 10, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, and further comprising temporarily storing the instructions and the texture information in cache. See Fig.6, Fig.10.
- 15. In regard to claim 11, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the cache is resident on the texture module. See Fig.6, Fig.10.
- 16. In regard to claim 12, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein each piece of texture information and each of the instructions are of a similar size in the memory. It is inherent that data store in memory have similar size. See Fig.6 and Fig.10.
- 17. In regard to claims 28, Rivard teaches a method for retrieving instructions from memory, comprising:(a) receiving a plurality of preliminary instructions from a rasterizer

Application/Control Number: 10/006,551 Page 6

Art Unit: 2628

module utilizing a texture module coupled thereto; see Fig.6; (b) sending an instruction request to memory utilizing a texture module coupled to the texture module; see Fig.6, col.6 lines 48-52; (d) caching the additional instructions on the texture module; see Fig.6; (e) sending a texture request to memory utilizing the texture module in accordance with the additional instructions; (f) receiving texture information from the memory in response to the texture request utilizing the texture module; (g) caching the texture information on the texture module; (i) repeating (b) - (g) in accordance with the additional instructions. See Fig.6, Fig.10, col.6 lines 48-55. Rivard is silent on the instruction being sent to and from DRAM. However, col.7 lines 3-6, Rivard teaches that memory data associated with instructions. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate instructions and data on col.7 lines 3-6 into the sending data of col.6 lines 50-60 because combination of instruction and data would provide a constant latency which includes time for exiting and reentering the graphic pipeline, see col.7 lines 1-3.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-17, 22, 23, 29, are rejected under 35 U. S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivard et al. (USPN 5987567) in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art (AAPA).

- 19. In regard to claim 13, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, Rivard does not teaches controlling the texture module utilizing a shader module coupled thereto. However, AAPA teaches controlling the texture module utilizing a shader module coupled thereto See Fig.3. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the shading module of AAPA into the texture module of Rivard because combination of shading module and texture module would enable a shading function to the graphic pipeline.
- 20. In regard to claim 14, AAPA teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the shader module controls the sending of instruction request and texture request by the texture module. See Fig.3 page 5 lines 24-31.
- 21. In regard to claim 15, AAPA teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the shader module processes a plurality of pixels with the texture information based on the instructions. See Fig.3.
- 22. In regard to claim 16, AAPA teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, wherein the shader module is capable of reusing the texture information in order to request further

Application/Control Number: 10/006,551

Art Unit: 2628

texture information from the video memory (control the looping of texture process), see Fig.3 page 4 lines 24-31.

- 23. In regard to claim 17, AAPA teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, and further comprising ceasing the processing upon the receipt of terminate instruction (require significant amount of time to push down the pipeline). See Fig.3 page 5 lines 7-15.
- 24. In regard to claims 22, 23, Rivard teaches a method and system for retrieving instructions from memory utilizing a texture module in a graphics pipeline, Rivard does not teach texture module is adapted for operating in a plurality of different modes. However, AAPA teach texture module is adapted for operating in a plurality of different modes. See page 3 lines 20-25. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate texture module of Rivard into the texture module of AAPA because a combination of texture module operating in plurality of difference modes and the texture module of Rivard would provide components of the texture module processing the texels in various ways such as an address calculation module allow various dimensionality textures.
- 25. In regard to claim 29, Rivard teaches a method for retrieving instructions from memory, comprising:(a) receiving a plurality of preliminary instructions from a rasterizer module utilizing a texture module coupled thereto; see Fig.6; (b) sending an instruction request to video memory, where a texture module sends the instruction request to the video memory; see Fig.6, Fig.10; (d) caching the additional instructions on the texture module; see Fig.6; Rivard does not teach (b) sending an instruction request to memory

Art Unit: 2628

utilizing the texture module couple to shader module; (e) sending a texture request to memory utilizing the texture module in accordance with the additional instructions; (f) receiving texture information from the memory in response to the texture request utilizing the texture module; (g) caching the texture information on the texture module; (i) repeating (b) - (g) in accordance with the additional instructions; (i) outputting the processed pixels upon receipt of additional instructions that include a terminate instruction. However, AAPA teaches (b) sending an instruction request to memory utilizing the texture module couple to shader module; see Fig.3; (e) sending a texture request to memory utilizing the texture module in accordance with the additional instructions; (f) receiving texture information from the memory in response to the texture request utilizing the texture module; (g) caching the texture information on the texture module; (i) repeating (b) - (g) in accordance with the additional instructions. See Fig.2. (j) outputting the processed pixels upon receipt of additional instructions that include a terminate instruction (require significant amount of time to push down the pipeline). See Fig.3 page 5 lines 7-15. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate the method of sending a texture request of AAPA into texture module of Rivard because a combination of AAPA's method and Rivard's texture module would provide a communication channel between memory and texture module for transferring texture data from the memory to back end of graphic pipeline.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,551

Art Unit: 2628

#### Conclusion

26. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tam D. Tran** whose telephone number is

**571-272-7793**. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-FRI from 8:30 – 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Kee M. Tung** can be reached on **571-272-7794**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is **571-273-8300**.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tam Tran

Examiner

Division 2628

KEE M. TUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER